

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P- 847 National Conference of the District Judiciary on Access to Justice

October 10-12, 2014

Programme Coordinator: Sh. Rajesh Suman

No of Participants: 26

No of Evaluation Forms: 21

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	80.77	19.23	0.00	
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	92.00	8.00	0.00	
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	88.00	12.00	0.00	
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	91.67	8.33	0.00	18. Considering situations in our district.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	72.00	28.00	0.00	
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. useful to my work	80.77	19.23	0.00	
b. comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	53.85	46.15	0.00	
c. up to date	56.00	44.00	0.00	
d. related to Constitutional vision of justice	73.08	26.92	0.00	
e. related to international legal norms	48.00	44.00	8.00	
III STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	80.77	19.23	0.00	
b. The program was an adequate	70.00	30.00	0.00	

combination of the following methodologies viz. Group discussions				
Case studies	52.00	40.00	8.00	
Interactive sessions	68.00	32.00	0.00	
Simulation Exercises	50.00	45.45	4.55	
Audio Visual Aids	62.50	37.50	0.00	
IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	72.00	24.00	4.00	
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	80.00	20.00	0.00	
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	76.92	23.08	0.00	
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	65.38	34.62	0.00	
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	72.00	28.00	0.00	
VI. RESOURCE PERSONS				
Name of the Resource Persons	Highly Effective & Useful	Moderate	Not Satisfactory	Remarks
Hon'ble Mr. Justice B P Katakey Former Judge, Gauhati High Court	88.46	11.54	0.00	
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dalip Singh Former judge, Rajasthan High Court	84.62	15.38	0.00	
Ms. Flavia Agnes Advocate, Secretary, MAJLIS	12.00	68.00	20.00	
Mr. B G Harindranath Additional Director, Kerala Judicial Academy	30.77	65.38	3.85	
Dr. Balram K. Gupta Sr. Advocate, Director, NJA Bhopal	75.00	25.00	0.00	
Prof. (Dr.) Geeta Oberoi Professor, NJA Bhopal	61.54	34.62	3.85	

Mr. Rajesh Suman, Assistant Professor, NJA Bhopal	88.46	11.54	0.00	
Overall, Resource Persons had expertise relevant to the sessions in which they participated	73.68	26.32	0.00	
VII. HOSPITALITY				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Remarks
a. Arrangements for my reception and transport to and from railway station/ airport	92.00	8.00	0.00	
b. Services at the reception counter	92.00	8.00	0.00	
c. Hygiene and facilities in the room	88.00	12.00	0.00	
d. Quality of food	84.00	16.00	0.00	
e. Arrangements in dining halls	84.00	16.00	0.00	
f. Assistance from travel desk for changes in travel reservations	87.50	12.50	0.00	
VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In enhancing our knowledge 2. This Conference reminded us to our duty towards people i.e. access to justice; Enhanced our self confidence ; Made updated through interactions with other judicial officers of all parts of India. 4. Development of some of the judicial skills; Refreshed , made more confident. 5. Refreshed, made more confident; Achieved so many things to use in my work place 6. Role of a judge as leader in order to be a better public servant; Access to justice is as important as doing justice. 7. The subject matter is very useful, New learning. 8. Access to justice for poor needy person is mandatory if judgement is to be passed in true service of justice. 9. By lecture of Hon Justice BP Katekey, it is learnt that there is need to make introspection, whether our system is providing justice to poor, downtrodden; As per S. 9 CPC legal rights can be exercised by inducing social action litigation. I got inspired by lecture delivered by Justice BP Katekey. 10. Being Pro active; Be victim and accused friendly; develop skill of leadership and good management for keeping the ambience clear and trust worthy. 11. Expeditious deciding pending cases by track wise deciding case record. 12. Court management; Case flow management; legal aid providing to the litigants under BPL, women, children and the method. 13. Deliberations of access to justice, view of different judicial officers ; basics of implementing A2J. 14. good gathering; good discussion. 15. How to deal with all concerned surrounding the sphere. 16. I represented my group No. 3 in Group discussion which encourage me to evaluate existing means and ways and render the justice therein. 			

	<p>17. Perception, attitude and best setting to be made.</p> <p>18. Improved vision – knowledge of limitation, necessity of positive mind set in all stake holders.</p> <p>19. Court management, caseflow management and fair trial.</p> <p>20. Brought change in the attitude; got some techniques in time management, more inspiration is given to becoming more litigant friendly.</p> <p>21. Case flow management,</p>
b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. Topic on –Being a judge in a constitutional democracy</p> <p>2. Interactive part</p> <p>3. Role of District Judiciary in Enhancing Access to Justice</p> <p>4. Session 3- Documentary Screening</p> <p>5. Role of District Judiciary in Enhancing Access to Justice</p> <p>6. All the programme were useful and helpful. But the interacton part after each topic was extremely useful as the solutions to various problems were discussed.</p> <p>7. All programmes is most useful.</p> <p>8. Barring a few, all the programmes were useful.</p> <p>9. Making and enhancing ensuring access for justice to poor, down trodden, marginalized. Case flow management; victim oriented justice.</p> <p>10. Role of disctrict judiciary in enhancing access to justice at most of the speakers and the participants benefitted a lot by the suggestions for pragmatic approach.</p> <p>11. Efficient court management and case flow management in courts.</p> <p>12 Course management</p> <p>13. Group Discussion</p> <p>14. Access to justice, which we had suggestions and they are accepted or bottleneck are solved.</p> <p>15. Interactiions, ; learning from the speech of Director of NJA, Hon’ble Justice of Supreme Court and Justice BP Katakey.</p> <p>16. Discussion of Topics relating to equal access to justice.</p> <p>18. Interactive sessions.</p> <p>21. Case flow management because one can render speedy justice while wanting quality.</p>
c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<p>1. Data visual</p> <p>3. Every programme I find useful</p> <p>4. Session 4- Victim Friendly Courts: Role of Judges</p> <p>6. No</p> <p>10. No part. All had immense usefulness.</p> <p>11. Special list.</p> <p>13. Dr. Flavia Agnes</p> <p>16. Non</p> <p>18. Insensitivity of some resource persons to the issues being entilated by some of the participants.</p>
d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	<p>1. By uniting sitting leading Supreme Court judges and scholars. Practical problems of the lower judiciary noticed to SC.</p> <p>2. There should be given more time for judicial officers to express their views regarding local problems while discharging their duties.</p> <p>3. There are many problems in lower judiciary for disposal of cases, namely old civil and criminal cases.</p> <p>4. To make such more resourceful interactive sessions.</p> <p>6. Such programmes should be held for all judicial officers working in India.</p> <p>8. Programme information should be given well in advance.</p>

	<p>9. To make arrangement to supply case materials well in advance to judges in their native place so as to enable them to come prepared; There is need to focus to discuss giving free legal aid to poor, downtrodden, marginalized, weaker section in exercising their legal rights in civil cases.</p> <p>10. To make arrangement for supply of case material well in advance to judges to their native places as accessible to come prepared. 2. There is need to focus to discuss giving free legal aid to poor, down trodden, marginalized section in exercising their legal rights in Civil Cases.</p> <p>11. We should also be given regular training programme after at least once in a year on different topics.</p> <p>13. Fitness centre must be functional</p> <p>14. If we are informed at least one month before then we can adjust /manage our board properly and we can get reservation in train in time.</p> <p>16. Practical subjects be discussed and problems of lower judiciary be brought to the notice of the Hon'ble Supreme Court for its redressal.</p> <p>17. More interactive sessions.</p> <p>18. Have self confidence in it that it is a national level Academy and can influence court of justice delivery system in the country.</p> <p>20. Entire programme was very effective and I am proud to be a member of the System.</p>
--	---